IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI

And
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 18477 of 2004

Between:

All India Crime Prevention League, Rep.by its Executive Member Mr.P.John Mark, S/o P.Chinna Venkataiah, R/o 16-8-908/7,

Yadav Complex, Malakpet X Roads, Hyderabad - 500 024

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Chief Commissioner of Income Tax I & II, Ayakar Bhavan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad
2 The Director (Investigation), Income Tax Office, Ayakar Bhavan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad
3 The Vigilance Officer, Income Tax Dept., Ayakar Bhavan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad
4 The Director, Central Bureau of Investigation, Koti, Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or a Writ more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus by declaring the inaction of the respondent authorities on the

representations and telegrams submitted by the petitioner society complaining evasion of tax by the several persons and also collusion of the officers concerned in the Income Tax areas of Proddatur, Nandyal, Kadapa, Hyderabad which is not only arbitrary but also contrary to the public interest and loss of revenue to the Government and consequently direct the R-1 and R-4 to pass appropriate orders on the representations submitted on 5-4-2004 and on 29-12-2003 by making a detailed probe and also by taking the cooperation of the petitioner society into the tax evasion amounting to lakhs of rupees, and to submit action taken report (ATR) to this Hon'ble Court within the stipulated time.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.KRISHNA DEVAN

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4: MR.S.R.ASHOK

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following:

ORAL ORDER: (PER: The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bilal Nazki)

This matter has been adjourned on six occasions. No cause of action is disclosed. The petitioner wants to proceed against number of people, who have not been made as parties, neither their particulars have been given. Today also an adjournment is sought. Declined. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

BILAL NAZKI, J

_____

S. ANANDA REDDY, J

Dt. 08-11-2004

Pvks/*

To

1 The Chief Commissioner of Income Tax I & II, Ayakar Bhavan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad
2 The Director (Investigation), Income Tax Office, Ayakar Bhavan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad
3 The Vigilance Officer, Income Tax Dept., Ayakar Bhavan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad
4 The Director, Central Bureau of Investigation, Koti, Hyderabad

5.2CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**VSR**}*